

## Planning Obligations

### SPD Consultation

#### TRANSPORT ASSESSMENT TEAM COMMENTS

**OUR REF:** 2812

**CASE OFFICER:** GCSPS

**AUTHOR:** Tam Parry

**DATE:** 23<sup>rd</sup> January 2025

These comments are further to a consultation on planning obligations by the Greater Cambridge Shared Planning Service GCSPS. The comments particularly refer to Chapter 10 Highways.

- Comment 1 Para 10.1. Should this also refer to strategic transport improvements?
- Comment 2 Para 10.4 Should this also refer to strategic transport improvements?
- Comment 3 Para 10.12 This covers the range of mitigation measures that could be sought.
- Comment 4 Para 10.13 It is agreed that there should be no lower threshold of development size.
- Comment 5 Para 10.19 This is applicable for walking and cycling improvements also. Where a particular site requires public transport services, walking and cycling infrastructure or highway or traffic management /mitigation to the wider network.
- Comment 6 10.20 This captures well how the County Council is using a vehicle trip budget and is agreed.
- Comment 7 10.24 The amount of the contribution may be sought based on the cost of the infrastructure and the trips generated, and or the quantum of the development, linked to those trips.

Contributions can also be based on the floor area or number of dwellings of a development, which will also determine the trip generation.