

Boxworth End, Swavesey

Flood Risk and Drainage Appraisal

On behalf of Axis Land Partnerships

Project Ref: 47801/001 | Rev: A | Date: February 2020

Registered Office:



Document Control Sheet

Project Name:Boxworth End, SwaveseyProject Ref:47801Report Title:Flood Risk and Drainage AppraisalDoc Ref:47801/FRDADate:February 2020

	Name	Position	Signature	Date		
Prepared by:	Michael Hartley	Assistant Engineer		12.02.20		
Reviewed by:	Stephanie Knowles	Principal Engineer		12.02.20		
Approved by:	Simon Darch	Director Cambridge		12.02.20		
For and on behalf of Stantec UK Limited						

Revision	Date	Description	Prepared	Reviewed	Approved
А	13.02.2020	Masterplan Update	MJH	SK	SK

This report has been prepared by Stantec UK Limited ('Stantec') on behalf of its client to whom this report is addressed ('Client') in connection with the project described in this report and takes into account the Client's particular instructions and requirements. This report was prepared in accordance with the professional services appointment under which Stantec was appointed by its Client. This report is not intended for and should not be relied on by any third party (i.e. parties other than the Client). Stantec accepts no duty or responsibility (including in negligence) to any party other than the Client and disclaims all liability of any nature whatsoever to any such party in respect of this report.



Contents

1	Introdu	uction1
2	Existin	g Baseline Information3
	2.1	Site Location
	2.2	Development vision
	2.3	Site Topography
	2.4	Hydrological Setting4
	2.5	Geological Context
	2.6	Public Sewers
3	Flood	Risk and Surface Water Drainage Policy7
	3.1	Overview7
	3.2	National Policy7
	3.3	Local Policy7
4	Assess	sment of Flood Risk9
	4.1	Fluvial Flooding9
	4.2	Flood Risk from Reservoirs
	4.3	Surface Water 10
	4.4	Groundwater Flooding 12
	4.5	Sewer Flooding 12
	4.6	Historical Flooding12
	4.7	Flood Risk Vulnerability Classification12
	4.8	Sequential Test 12
	4.9	Climate Change13
5	Prelim	inary Surface Water Strategy14
	5.1	Overview14
	5.2	Surface Water Drainage Hierarchy 14
	5.3	Greenfield Runoff Rates 15
	5.4	Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDS)15
	5.5	Attenuation Storage Requirements 16
	5.6	Exceedance
	5.7	Water Quality and Pollution Control 17
	5.8	Adoption and Maintenance 17
6	Conclu	usions and Recommendations

Figures

Figure 1: Site Location	3
Figure 2: Area Topography	
Figure 3: Source Protection Zones	
Figure 4: Flood Map for Planning	9



Figure 5: Risk of Flooding from Reservoirs	10
Figure 6: Risk of Flooding from Surface Water	
Figure 7: Surface Water Flood Depth in a low risk scenario	

Tables

Table 1: Soil Association types found at site	. 5
Table 2: Surface Water Flood Risk Categories	

Appendices

- Appendix A Open Data Flood Maps
- Appendix B IDB Drawing
- Appendix C Geological Information
- Appendix D Existing Drainage Catchment Plan
- Appendix E Anglian Water Records and Correspondence
- Appendix F EA Records and Correspondence
- Appendix G CCC Correspondence
- Appendix H SFRA Extract
- Appendix I Greenfield Calcs
- Appendix J Quick Storage Calcs
- Appendix K Outline Proposed Drainage Strategy



this page is intentionally blan



1 Introduction

1.1 Scope of Report

- 1.1.1 This appraisal report sets out the high-level flood risk and drainage strategy for up to 70 residential units on Land East of Boxworth End, Swavesey, Cambridgeshire. It has been prepared for Axis Land Partnerships, (ALP) who are promoting the land for allocation in the emerging Greater Cambridge Local Plan.
- 1.1.2 This appraisal report is a desk-based review of publicly available information, documents and reports, supplemented by consultation with stakeholders.
- 1.1.3 This report considers existing site conditions and the nature of existing flood risk constraints associated with fluvial, groundwater, tidal/coastal, reservoirs/impounded water features, surface water and sewer sources. This appraisal report briefly sets out the policy context in which promotion of Land East of Boxworth End would be assessed. A high-level flood risk and drainage strategy for the development is then presented.

1.2 Purpose of this report

- 1.2.1 The purpose of this report is to explore how the strengths of a development in this location can be harnessed and developed to enable local flood risk and surface water drainage policy objectives to be met. A brief overview of the impacts associated with development in this location, both in terms of opportunities for sustainable surface water drainage and in terms of flood risk have also been provided.
- 1.2.2 The document has been prepared as a template for the sustainable surface water drainage strategy for the site but also to help inform the next stage of Greater Cambridge Local Plan process.

1.3 Report Structure

- 1.3.1 The remainder of this report is structured as follows:
 - Section 2 sets out in more detail the existing site details and hydrological context of the site, from existing data sources and studies;
 - Section 3 summaries the existing flood risk and surface water drainage policies which have been and are to be considered in the future development of the site;
 - Section 4 provides details on the existing flood risk conditions effecting the site both from existing data sources and studies;
 - Section 5 gives an early indication of a future surface water drainage strategy which could be used at the site based on preliminary calculations and assumptions;
 - Section 6 considers how well the site meets local flood risk and surface water drainage policy.

1.4 Consultation

- 1.4.1 Key stakeholders have been consulted to acquire site-specific information on flood risk and drainage, to confirm design criteria/principles that should be adopted for the purposes of informing the development of a surface water drainage strategy and the production of a future Flood Risk Assessment (FRA). The following stakeholders were consulted:
 - Environment Agency (EA);
 - Cambridgeshire County Council (CCC) (the Lead Local Flood Authority (LLFA));



- Anglian Water (AW);
- South Cambridgeshire District Council (SCDC);
- Swavesey Internal Drainage Board.
- 1.4.2 The following documents and surveys are also relevant to the development and have been reviewed to inform this appraisal:
 - OS Mapping;
 - Topographic Survey carried out by Survey Solutions dated February 2020 (Ref:25746ea-01 Rev A);
 - Online Government flood maps;
 - South Cambridgeshire and Cambridge City Level 1 Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (Sept 2010);
 - Geological website;
 - South Cambridgeshire Local Plan dated September 2018.
- 1.4.3 A site visit was undertaken on 4th February 2020 to understand the existing surface water drainage at the site.



2 Existing Baseline Information

2.1 Site Location

- 2.1.1 The site is located east of Bucking Way Road, Boxworth End, south of Swavesey. The site consists of mainly agricultural land with a wooded area and a pond occupying the south-west area of site. The total site area of approximately 3.2 hectares.
- 2.1.2 A watercourse and gardens associated with properties on Buckling Way Road mainly border the western boundary of the site. Residential development associated with Pine Grove lies to the north of the site. Agricultural land lies to the east of the site. Land associated with Boxworth End Farm bounds the south of the site.
- 2.1.3 A Site Location Plan with Aerial Photography, reference Figure 01b, is contained in Appendix A. Figure 1 below shows the site location.



Contains Ordnance Survey data © Crown copyright and database right 2019.

Figure 1: Site Location

2.2 Development vision

2.2.1 The site is being considered for up to 70 residential units, with access proposed off Bucking Way Road, in the south west corner of the site.

2.3 Site Topography

2.3.1 A topographic survey undertaken by Survey Solutions dated February 2020 ((Ref:25746ea-01 Rev A) shows site levels range between 11.99m AOD at the southern-most corner of the site to 8.47m AOD at the northern most corner of the site. The site generally falls to the northwest.



2.3.2 Figure 2-2 below and Figure 02 in Appendix A shows the topography of the site relative to the immediate surrounding area based on LiDAR data.



Figure 2: Area Topography

2.4 Hydrological Setting

- 2.4.1 An Ordinary watercourse crosses the south-west corner of the site and drains northwards along the western site boundary. An ordinary watercourse is defined as a river, stream, ditch, drain, cut, dyke, sluice, sewer (other than a public sewer) and passage through which water flows and which does not form part of a main river.
- 2.4.2 The Ordinary watercourse is culverted for a short section near the southern site boundary and immediately downstream of the site before re-emerging as an open channel just north of Pine Grove.
- 2.4.3 The Ordinary watercourse enters the management of the Swavesey Internal Drainage Board (IDB) (Drain 17) downstream of the site adjacent to the Pine Grove development north of the site (see drawing enclosed in Appendix B).
- 2.4.4 The Ordinary watercourse is part of the IDB pumped system, which discharges to the Swavesey Drain, an Environment Agency Main River, at High Causeway Pumping Station (see Figure No: 47801/GIS001). Outflows from Swavesey Drain are also controlled by sluice gates at Webbs Hole, which closes to prevent high flows from the River Great Ouse backing up into the system. The whole network is therefore effectively tide-locked when the River Great Ouse is high, potentially for up to 14 days at a time.
- 2.4.5 A pump station has been constructed at Webb's Hole Sluice by SCDC to over-pump water from the Swavesey Drain to the Ouse during sluice gate closure. This 1 cumec pump was constructed as mitigation for increased flows arising from the expansion of Utton's Drove



WWRC. The design has allowed for improved conveyance through the system as well as evacuation of an equivalent volume to the treated effluent. Although this asset currently has the potential to benefit the fluvial regime (as the WWRC has yet to reach full discharge capacity), the long-term fluvial betterment should not be relied upon.

2.4.6 There is an existing pond within the site, located near the western boundary. Based on observations made during a site visit the pond appears to have no obvious piped inlets or outlets.

2.5 Geological Context

- 2.5.1 The British Geological Survey (BGS) extracts show the site is not underlain by superficial deposits. The site is underlain by the West Walton Formation and Ampthill Clay Formation comprising Mudstone. Geological information is enclosed in Appendix A.
- 2.5.2 The Soil Association Maps (see extract in Appendix C) show that the site is underlain wholly by soil type 411d (Hanslope). The permeability characteristics of soil type 411d is summarised in Table 1 below.

Soil Type	Coverage	Description	BFIHOST
411d (Hanslope)	100% of site.	Slowly permeable	0.34
		calcareous clayey soils.	
		Some slowly	
		permeable non-	
		calcareous clayey soils.	
		Slight risk of water	
		erosion.	

Table 1: Soil Association types found at site

- 2.5.3 During the site visit standing water was observed at more than one location. Based on the current information available infiltration drainage is unlikely to be suitable at the site. However, this assumption, if required by the LLFA, can be confirmed through intrusive ground investigations undertaken to support the site at the planning stage.
- 2.5.4 Figure 3 shows the site is not located within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone (GSPZ). Therefore, the site is not considered a risk to water quality associated with abstraction. The site is not underlain by aquifer (Superficial Drift) or bedrock aquifer.





Figure 3: Source Protection Zones

Surface Water On-Site Drainage

- 2.5.5 The site comprises mainly agricultural land, such that surface water would likely drain to the north-west following the natural topography with eventual discharge to the on-site pond or ordinary watercourse which runs parallel to the western site boundary. Some localised infiltration could occur during the lower storm events.
- 2.5.6 The topographical survey, and as observed during the site visit, shows there are three outfalls to the ordinary watercourse near the southern boundary where the Ordinary Watercourse changes direction to the north. The outfalls were recorded to be 100mm, 150mm and 225mm in diameter. The outfalls appear to originate from Boxworth End Farm south-east of the site.
- 2.5.7 An existing surface water drainage catchment plan is enclosed in Appendix D.

2.6 Public Sewers

- 2.6.1 There are no public sewers within the site according to Anglian Water plans enclosed in Appendix E.
- 2.6.2 There are no surface water sewers in the vicinity of the site.
- 2.6.3 There is a 150mm foul sewer within Boxworth End which conveys which conveys wastewater north-eastwards in the vicinity of the site.



3 Flood Risk and Surface Water Drainage Policy

3.1 Overview

3.1.1 This section provides an overview of national and local flood risk and surface water drainage policy objectives relevant to the area of study. These are the foundation to inform the emerging design of which the site will meet.

3.2 National Policy

- 3.2.1 The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) details the current national planning policy for flood risk in England. The NPPF has strict tests to protect people and property from flooding which all local planning authorities are expected to follow. The accompanying Planning Practice Guidance (PPG) to the NPPF advises on how planning can take account of the risk associated with flooding and coastal change.
- 3.2.2 The online Flood Maps are used to assign a flood risk classification to all land throughout England with PPG defining the vulnerability of development and land use.
- 3.2.3 The PPG contains Table 3 (Flood Risk Vulnerability Classification and Flood Zone Compatibility), detailing appropriate development within each of the flood zones based on the vulnerability classification in addition to further planning requirements (e.g. the Sequential and Exception Test) to assess if the development is at an acceptable risk of flooding.
- 3.2.4 In accordance with the NPPF and PPG, a Flood Risk Assessment (FRA) will be required as the site is greater than 1 hectare.
- 3.2.5 The Flood Risk vulnerability classification and Flood Zone Compatibility is discussed further in Section 4 of this report.

3.3 Local Policy

- 3.3.1 The Local Plan refers to the following relevant policies:
 - Policy CC/1: Mitigation and Adaption to Climate Change,
 - Policy CC/7: Water Quality,
 - o Policy CC/8: Sustainable Drainage Systems, and
 - Policy CC/9: Managing Flood Risk.
- 3.3.2 Policy CC/1 of the South Cambridgeshire Local Plan states that "*Planning permission will only* be granted for proposals that demonstrate and embed the principles of climate change mitigation and adaptation into the development".
- 3.3.3 Policy CC/7 states that in order to protect and enhance water quality, all development proposals must demonstrate:
 - there are adequate water supply, sewerage and land drainage systems to serve the whole development.
 - the quality of ground, surface or water bodies will not be harmed.
 - Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDS) will be incorporated.
- 3.3.4 Policy CC/8 states that development proposals will be required to demonstrate that:
 - Drainage schemes comply with the SuDS Non-statutory technical standards for SuDS and the Cambridgeshire Flood and Water SPD.
 - Opportunities are taken to integrate SuDS, create amenity and enhance biodiversity.



- Surface water is managed at source and on surface where viable.
- Maximum use is made of low land take drainage measures.
- Incorporate appropriate pollution control measures.
- Arrangements have been established for the whole life management and maintenance of surface water drainage systems.
- 3.3.5 Policy CC/9 mentions development will only be permitted where:
 - the sequential and exception tests demonstrate the development is acceptable.
 - Floor levels are 300mm above the 1 in 100 flood level plus an allowance for climate change.
 - incorporate suitable flood protection / mitigation as appropriate. Prepare management and maintenance plans.
 - there will be no increase to flood risk elsewhere and opportunities to reduce flood risk elsewhere are explored.

4 Assessment of Flood Risk

4.1 Fluvial Flooding

- 4.1.1 The first stage when identifying whether a site is at risk of flooding is to consult the Government's Flood Zone maps. This provides an initial indication of the extent of the Flood Zones.
- 4.1.2 Figure 4 below, an extract of the online Flood Map for Planning (Reference Figure 03 enclosed in Appendix A) shows the whole site is located within Flood Zone 1 'Low Probability (less than 1 in 1,000 annual probability (<0.1%) of river flooding.



Contains Ordnance Survey data © Crown copyright and database right 2019.

Figure 4: Flood Map for Planning

4.1.3 The Flood Map for Planning does not map fluvial flood risk from minor watercourses. However, the "Risk of Flooding from Surface Water" map is considered a reasonable initial indicator of fluvial flood risk from minor watercourses, further details on the surface water flood risk is provided within this appraisal. EA data is enclosed in Appendix F.

4.2 Flood Risk from Reservoirs

4.2.1 Figure 5 below, an extract of the Flood Risk from Reservoirs (Reference Figure 11 enclosed in Appendix A), shows the risk of flooding in the event of a breach from reservoirs containing 25,000 (or above) cubic metres of water. The maps indicate that the site is not located within an area which is considered at risk in the event of reservoir breach.





Figure 5: Risk of Flooding from Reservoirs

4.3 Surface Water

4.3.1 Figure 6 is an extract of the Risk of Flooding from Surface Water map, (Reference Figure 4 in Appendix A) shows areas that could potentially be susceptible to surface water flooding in an extreme rainfall event. Please note that the surface water flood maps show modelled information and not historical records.



Figure 6: Risk of Flooding from Surface Water

4.3.2 The definitions for each surface water flood risk category is defined in Table 2 below.



Table 2:	Surface	Water	Flood	Risk	Categories
----------	---------	-------	-------	------	------------

Risk of flooding	Probability
Very low	< 1 in 1000 (0.1%)
Low	1 in 1000 (0.1%) - 1 in 100 (1%).
Medium	1 in 100 (1%) - 1 in 30 (3.3%)
High	>1 in 30 (3.3%)

4.3.3 Figure 6 above shows the majority of the Site is at 'Very Low' risk of surface water flooding. However, there is an area shown to be at 'Low', 'Medium' and 'High' risk of surface water at the south west corner of the site as a result of the watercourse which runs along the western site boundary.



Figure 7: Surface Water Flood Depth in a low risk scenario

- 4.3.4 Figure 7 above shows a flood depth of 600-900mm could occur in the south-west corner of the site in a low risk surface water scenario. CCC stated in their formal response that surface water modelling of the watercourse is required to quantify the depth of any flood waters across the proposed access road, in a 1 in 100 (1%) annual exceedance probability (AEP) including an allowance for climate change of 40%. CCC stipulated the depth of flooding must be less than 300mm in this rainfall event to ensure there is safe access and egress along the entrance access road (see response in Appendix G).
- 4.3.5 Stantec have estimated flows at the south-west corner of the site, where the access road is proposed, in the 1% AEP + 40% climate change scenario. A peak flow of 0.67 m³/s was calculated. Our initial review of channel capacity indicates that the channel is potentially large enough to contain this flow. However, it may be constrained by small culvert sizes upstream and downstream that might cause some out-of-bank flows.
- 4.3.6 If the entrance road needs to be raised, so there is less than 300mm flood depth, there may be a need for mitigation measures to prevent any detrimental impact of displaced flood water on third party property. We believe there is enough space within the site and access corridor to allow this. However, this will need be confirmed by hydraulic modelling and flood compensation assessments which will be undertaken and considered in the application. The LLFA have confirmed hydraulic modelling is required for the site.



4.3.7 Appropriate easements and offsets to the existing watercourse at the site have been incorporated with the emerging masterplan for the site, in accordance with the requirements of approving stakeholders.

4.4 Groundwater Flooding

- 4.4.1 There are no BGS borehole records in the vicinity of the site to provide an indication of potential groundwater levels at the site. The nearest BGS boreholes are located south of the site near the junction between Bucking Way Road and Huntingdon Road, approximately 1.5km away.
- 4.4.2 It is recommended discussions are carried out with the LLFA as to whether an intrusive ground investigation should be carried out to support of the Site at planning.

4.5 Sewer Flooding

4.5.1 AW have no records of flooding in the vicinity that can be attributed to capacity limitations in the public sewerage system (see response in **Appendix D**).

4.6 Historical Flooding

- 4.6.1 CCC stated they have no historic flood records for the site although they did state a flood incident occurred approximately 0.4km away at Boxworth End (south of the site area). CCC (the LLFA's) formal response is contained within Appendix G.
- 4.6.2 The historical flood map included within the SFRA shows a fluvial flood incident occurred south of the site due to local ditches overtopping. The map also shows a sewer flood incident was recorded near the site (see SFRA map extract in **Appendix H**).
- 4.6.3 SCDC and Swavesey IDB were also consulted and their responses are awaited.

4.7 Flood Risk Vulnerability Classification

- 4.7.1 The NPPF PPG 'Flood Risk and Coastal Change' Table 2 identifies the 'Flood risk vulnerability classification' of a site, depending upon the proposed usage. This classification is subsequently applied to PPG Table 3 to determine whether:
 - The proposed development is suitable for the flood zone in which it is located, and;
 - Whether an Exception Test is required for the proposed development.
- 4.7.2 The proposed residential development is classed as 'more vulnerable' development.
- 4.7.3 The location of the proposed development is in Flood Zone 1.

4.8 Sequential Test

- 4.8.1 The NPPF follows a sequential risk-based approach in determining the suitability of land for development in flood risk areas, with the intention of steering all new development to the lowest flood risk areas.
- 4.8.2 The site is located in Flood Zone 1, however due to a small part of the site being at high risk of surface water flooding (see section 4.3 above), it is anticipated successful application of the Sequential Test and Exception Test may be required in accordance with NPPF. This is a planning led document and is therefore recommended that the planner addresses these tests during consultation with the local authority. The principle of sequential test is applied on a site-



specific basis. The sequential approach in the meantime will need to be applied to the site with all residential dwellings located in areas shown to be at very low risk of flooding.

4.9 Climate Change

- 4.9.1 As the site is located entirely in Flood Zone 1 and at a significant distance from a Main River, as illustrated in Figure 3-1, and a notable height above the flood level, it is considered reasonable to assume that the site is not impacted by fluvial flooding when climate change is taken into consideration.
- 4.9.2 There is an ordinary watercourse which runs along the western site boundary. As discussed in section 4.3 above, CCC have confirmed detailed modelling of this watercourse is required to quantify the potential depth of flooding in a 1% AEP including an allowance for climate change of 40%. This will need to be undertaken as part of the planning application for the site and based on the high-level assessment already undertaken, we consider there is sufficient space to accommodate any necessary mitigation works to allow for the future development of the site.
- 4.9.3 The access road will likely need to be raised to ensure safe access and egress can be achieved at the site with flood depths kept to a maximum of 300mm.
- 4.9.4 We are proposing works to be undertaken to the existing drain adjacent to the access road with an overflow to a new drain and temporary surface water storage area in the site. The temporary storage area should also be promoted as informal play. The volumes and velocities associated with the flooding along the access road and stored within the site will need to be confirmed by hydraulic modelling and flood compensation works.
- 4.9.5 With regards to anticipated changes in peak rainfall intensity due to climate change, CCC require a 20% increase in rainfall intensities to be used for design purposes to assess the impact on the surface water drainage network. A 40% increase in rainfall intensities should be used to assess the potential flood risk implications in the design rainfall event including whether there is any increased flood risk to third parties as a result of the development. This has been included within the preliminary surface water drainage for the site, refer to section 5 and **Appendix K**.



5 Preliminary Surface Water Strategy

5.1 Overview

- 5.1.1 As the LLFA, Cambridgeshire County Council are responsible for the approval of surface water drainage systems within new major development.
- 5.1.2 Major development, as defined within the Town and Country Planning Act (1990), consists of any of the following:
 - a) the provision of dwelling houses where residential development of 10 or more units; or where the development is to be carried out on a site having an area of 0.5 hectares or more and the number of units is not known;
 - b) the provision of a building or buildings where the floor space to be created by the development is 1,000 square metres or more; or
 - c) development carried out on a site having an area of 1 hectare or more.
- 5.1.3 The Webb's Hole Sluice, which is located north of the site, is an Environment Agency controlled gate, which shuts when there is a differential of level between the Ouse and the Swavesey Drain; these closures can be prolonged for up to 14 days. This leads to water backing up the Swavesey Drain and low-lying IDB system through the village of Swavesey while the gate is shut, reducing the function of the drains in times of high flow.
- 5.1.4 The CCC have stated that additional volumes of water within this drain could potentially lead to wider spread flooding throughout Swavesey and this of concern.
- 5.1.5 The hydraulic regime is complex and benefits from early evacuation of surface water following an event, making the most of the lag in the rising Ouse levels, but once the system is tide-locked it is heavily constrained.
- 5.1.6 The LLFA have therefore requested that it would be beneficial to include some telemetry and control on the outlet from the development to hold water on site during larger storm events, when Webb's Hole Sluice shuts. This mitigation measure would safeguard against overloading the existing system in Swavesey and that it has recently been implemented on a number of other developments within the village.
- 5.1.7 The following section provides an overview of the existing surface water drainage arrangements and the proposed strategy for the management of surface water from the new development.

5.2 Surface Water Drainage Hierarchy

- 5.2.1 Regional and national planning policy will be taken into account going forward within the emerging drainage strategy for the site.
- 5.2.2 Local Plan policy CC/8 states development proposals will be required to demonstrate that opportunities are taken to integrate SuDS, create amenity and enhance biodiversity.
- 5.2.3 Part H of the Building Regulations states that options for the disposal of surface water runoff should be considered in the following hierarchical order:
 - i. Into the ground (infiltration);
 - ii. To a surface water body (e.g. watercourse);



- iii. To a surface water sewer, highway drain or other drainage system;
- iv. To a combined sewer.
- 5.2.4 Based on the underlying geology and site observations, the site is unlikely to support the use of infiltration features alone, however discussions should be carried out with the LLFA at planning stage to confirm whether intrusive ground investigations need to be undertaken at the site in support of any future planning application.

5.3 Greenfield Runoff Rates

- 5.3.1 When infiltration is not deemed to be feasible, discharge to watercourse is the next preferred option. There is a watercourse which runs along the western boundary and already accepts greenfield runoff from the site.
- 5.3.2 The greenfield runoff rate was estimated using the FEH Statistical method based on catchment descriptors for the site. This method resulted in a QBAR (1 in 2.33 annual probability event) greenfield runoff rate of 2.5l/s/ha. Refer to Appendix I for the supporting Greenfield Runoff calculations. The LLFA will expect this to be applied to the site.

5.4 Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDS)

- 5.4.1 It is a requirement of the NPPF that SuDS are used in all major developments, if feasible. The LLFA also advocates the use of appropriate SUDS in new development as detailed in the Cambridgeshire County Council Surface Water Drainage Guidance for Developers dated November 2019.
- 5.4.2 CIRIA report C753 'The SuDS Manual' outlines the various types of SuDS, their benefits and limitations, and design considerations associated with each. Not all SuDS components/methods are feasible or appropriate for all developments, factors such as available space, ground conditions and site gradient will influence the feasibility of different methods for a development.
- 5.4.3 At this stage it is anticipated the SuDS features proposed at the site will be widely dispersed throughout the development.
- 5.4.4 The design and the integration of proposed SuDS features within the wider landscape strategy and proposals will be carefully considered as part of the masterplanning process and shall themselves, provide an element of Public Open Space use.
- 5.4.5 The site already has a number of landscape features including woodland, the pond and watercourse which are proposed to be retained and enhanced where possible therefore, the SuDS proposals shall be designed to ensure they enhance and support the landscape proposals going forward.
- 5.4.6 The proposed SuDS seek to deliver long term mitigation by attenuating and treating the development generated surface water runoff and where possible provide betterment to the receiving watercourse. SuDS will be designed so they are integrated within the wider landscape proposals and will provide opportunities, where possible, to enhance biodiversity and recreation facilities.
- 5.4.7 As well as providing a drainage function, the SuDS will also form an important part of the project's biodiversity strategy. The proposed SuDS features will be designed so that they maximise opportunities for habitat creation.
- 5.4.8 The prevailing surface water strategy to be adopted is a network of positive drainage, where feasible consisting of and not limited to:



- Open swales / rills;
- Attenuation Basins;
- Porous Paving; and
- Bio-retention areas.
- 5.4.9 Upstream on plot drainage solutions such as bio-retention planters (where feasible) and permeable paving could also provide pre-treatment for runoff from hard standing surfaces such a parking areas.
- 5.4.10 Piped networks may still be utilised in areas based on the LLFA, Highways and Sewerage undertaker adoption requirements.

5.5 Attenuation Storage Requirements

- 5.5.1 The percentage of impermeable area has been taken as 55% for residential areas. This will be reviewed as development proposals progress.
- 5.5.2 WinDes Quick Storage Estimates have been undertaken to provide an indication of the volume of storage that would likely be required on site to provide the necessary attenuation based upon rainfall events up to the 1% (1 in 100) annual probability event plus, an additional allowance of 20% and 40% on rainfall intensity, which is to account for the potential impacts of climate change. The climate change allowance is based on the latest Environment Agency *Flood Risk Assessments: Climate Change Allowances* (February 2016, updated Dec 2019).
- 5.5.3 The proposed final developable area for the future works is not yet known as we are at local plan promotion stage, therefore the amount of storage required for every 1ha of impermeable area has been calculated to be 1089m³ This is based on the site applicable greenfield Q_{BAR} runoff rate of 2.5l/s/ha and a 1% annual probability rainfall event including an allowance for climate change of 40% (see calculations in Appendix J).
- 5.5.4 As stated in section 5.1, CCC has requested additional storage is provided, considering the effects from the Webb's Hole Sluice when it is shut in times of high-water flows, for up to 14 days. A sensitivity test has therefore been undertaken of the basins to determine the effects of zero discharge during the 14 days on the basins. The basins have been indicatively sized to accommodate this, with an element of free board provided to potentially accommodate a lower rainfall event during the 14 days. It is also imperative that the site also promotes the use of upstream SuDS features, as listed in this report. This is to help facilitate the likely additional storage volumes which will need to be accommodated at the site.
- 5.5.5 A penstock and telemetric control, in addition to the proposed outfall discharge control will need to be included within the future long-term surface water drainage strategy for the site. The penstock and telemetric control will need to be linked to the EA operated flood gates at Webb's Sluice, so when the EA flood gates are closed the on-site penstock is closed and flows will not be allowed to discharge from the site until such a time as the flood gates are reopened and there is capacity downstream to accommodate flows from the site.
- 5.5.6 An approximation of the total storage required for each catchment is shown in the Proposed Surface Water Drainage Strategy drawing enclosed in Appendix K. The calculations are only rough estimates which can only be used at this high-level stage. The indicative locations of strategic attenuation basins and their associated outfalls for each catchment are shown. It is anticipated this storage will be supported with more dispersed storage once a masterplan is progressed in the planning stages.
- 5.5.7 The maximum stored water depth to achieve a gravity outfall will also need to be confirmed at planning stage with review of survey data of the local land drainage network.



5.5.8 The size of any proposed attenuation features will be affected by any groundworks that may take place as part of the development proposals and further drainage modelling to accommodate the zero-discharge requirement.

5.6 Exceedance

5.6.1 To demonstrate that in an exceedance event any flooding does not negatively affect the development, flows up to the 1 in 100 (1%) annual probability plus climate change rainfall event will be managed onsite. Furthermore, the attenuation will be designed to accommodate surface water runoff with no flooding for all storms up to and including the 1 in 100 (1%) annual probability plus 40% climate change event.

5.7 Water Quality and Pollution Control

- 5.7.1 Appropriate pollution control measures must be included in the surface water drainage system to minimise the risk of contamination or pollution entering the receiving watercourse and aquifer from surface water runoff from the development.
- 5.7.2 The drainage system will be designed to comply with the requirements of the SuDS treatment train as laid out in CIRIA C753 'The SuDS Manual', described as the 'Simple Index' Approach, in addition to the CCC Surface Water Drainage Guidance for Developers document and the Local Plan. A SuDS treatment plan should be applied for each catchment whereby runoff passes through a variety of SuDS techniques to control volumes of runoff and reduce pollution before discharge to a watercourse.

5.8 Adoption and Maintenance

- 5.8.1 It is assumed that the surface water infrastructure will be designed to adoptable standards and adopted either by Anglian Water, ICOSA or private management company. The upcoming release of Sewers for Adoption 8th Edition highlights a change in approach whereby sewerage companies including Anglian Water are open to adopting SuDS features provided they meet expected design standards.
- 5.8.2 CCC Surface Water Drainage Guidance for Developers document dated November 2019 provides outline guidance on how SuDS features should be designed.



6 Conclusions and Recommendations

- 6.1.1 This Appraisal report sets out the high-level flood risk and drainage strategy for development on Land East of Boxworth End, Swavesey. This site presents an opportunity to deliver strategic growth without causing a detriment to flood riskby considering the following:
 - The whole site is located within Flood Zone 1 of the "Flood Map for Planning", which is appropriate for all types of development.
 - There is an ordinary watercourse which runs along part of the southern site boundary and along the western site boundary.
 - The south west corner of the site is at a low, medium and high risk of surface water flooding where a flood depth of 900mm could occur in a low risk scenario. As such, Cambridgeshire County Council have confirmed surface water modelling is required to quantify the potential depth of floodwaters across the proposed access road into the site in a 1 in 100 (1%) annual probability event including an allowance for climate change of 40%, to ensure safe access and egress (less than 300mm flood depth) from the development is available. This will be undertaken to inform the future planning application for the site.
 - Based on provisional calculations we can confirm the existing channel is potentially large enough to contain the flow associated with this event. If the entrance road needs to be raised, so there is less than 300mm flood depth, mitigation measures may need to be incorporated to prevent any detrimental impact of displaced flood water on third party land. It is assumed at this early stage there is sufficient space within the site and access corridor to accommodate any displaced water. However, this is subject hydraulic modelling and flood compensation assessment.
 - As part of the site is located at high risk of surface water flooding, application of the sequential and exception tests may be required. It is recommended the planner addresses these tests with the approving authority. A sequential approach has been undertaken at a site level, with all dwellings located in an area at low risk of surface water flooding.
 - Appropriate easements will be applied to the watercourse at the site to ensure they remain free from development and future maintenance access can be retained.
 - Infiltration drainage alone is unlikely to be feasible based on site observations and recorded geology, however infiltration testing could be undertaken to support the site at the planning stage, if a requirement of the LLFA.
 - The site has the potential to support a range of sustainable measures to manage and control surface water run-off, with the view to deliver an integrated Sustainable Drainage System (SuDS). These features will be fully joined up with ecology/habitat areas, green infrastructure, and public open space. Rather than creating simple functional 'drainage features', this integrated approach will contribute to habitat creation and enhance biodiversity, provide multi-functional amenity space, and preserve water quality. This is in line with national and local guidance.
 - The volume of surface water being discharged from the development will be carefully controlled to replicate the drainage regime of the existing site in accordance with the Lead Local Flood Authority (Cambridgeshire County Council) surface water drainage design requirements and local planning authority, so as not to cause any increase in offsite flood risk. This will also need to include the requirement for zero-discharge for 14 days as detailed by CCC.



- The SuDS will ensure there is no increase in discharge rates from the site but also will improve water quality discharge, using the principles from the SuDS Manual. Provisional rates have been provided within this appraisal.
- It is estimated attenuation storage in the order of 1089m³ per impermeable hectare could be required to attenuate runoff during the 1 in 100 annual probability plus 40% climate change prior to discharge, this may increase once further modelling is undertaken to enable zero-discharge for a period of 14 days. The preliminary surface water drainage strategy has illustrated this is achievable, and it assumed that the extreme storage will be integrated with the landscaping proposals.
- 6.1.2 Overall the site is well positioned to deliver housing needs without increased flood risk to offsite areas following the implementation of the proposed mitigation measures listed within this report. It also offers the opportunity for environmental enhancement through the introduction of a variety of SuDS. With the implementation of the strategy, it is considered that the site accords with national and local regional planning policy guidance, and that therefore there no flood risk nor drainage reasons why Land East of Boxworth End, Swavesey should not be allocated for residential development in the Greater Cambridge Local Plan.



Appendix A Open Data Flood Maps

- Watercourse Location Plan
- Catchment Topography
- Catchment Boundaries: Comparison of FEH and LiDAR derived catchments
- Upper Catchment Topography
- Soil Association Map
- FEH Catchments
- Land Cover for URBEXT calculation
- Site Location Plan
- Site Location (Aerial Photography)
- Area Topography (LiDAR)
- EA Flood Zone Map
- EA Surface Water Flood Risk
- Reservoir Flood Map
- EA Historic Flood Map
- Source Protection Zone





- Mair	n River (EA)	ing Station (IDB)	
	inary Watercourse	e (IDB)	
		e (Riparian Owner)	
Site			
Elevation	(mAOD)		
3			1
6.75	5		1-
10.5	5		
14.2	25		
18			

Axis Land Partnerships Ltd

Contains Ordnance Survey data @ Crown copyright and database right 2020

Stantec

www.stantec.com/uk

© STANTEC UK LM















Document Path: 1:\47801 Boxworth End Swavesey\GIS\Workspaces\47801 GIS008a Site Location mxd



		 Site Bou Elevation (i ≤4 5 - 6 7 - 8 9 - 10 11 - 12 13 - 14 15 - 16 17 - 18 19 - 20 21 - 22 23 - 24 >24 	(mAOD)
Stantec Client BOXWORTH END, SWAVESEY Axis Land Axis Land Site Topography (2m LiDAR)	0 250 500 m	1:5,000 @ A3 D	Date: 04/02/2020
Stantec Axis Land Site Topography (2m LiDAR)			
Partnerships Ltd	Contains OS data © Crown Copyright and database right 2019	Drawn: MD C	Checked: MH Rev A










Document Path: J:\47801 Boxworth End Swavesey\GIS\Workspaces\47801 GIS014 Flood Risk from Surface Water (Medium Risk Depth).mxd





Document Path: J:\47801 Boxworth End Swavesey\GIS\Workspaces\47801 GIS009 Flood Risk from Surface Water (Low Risk Depth) mxd





Document Path: J:\47801 Boxworth End Swavesey\GIS\Workspaces\47801 GIS017 Flood Risk from Reservoirs.mxd





Document Path: J:\47801 Boxworth End Swavesey\GIS\Workspaces\47801 GIS019 Recorded Flood Outlines.mxd



Document Path: J:\47801 Boxworth End Swavesey\GIS\Workspaces\47801 GIS014 Source Protection Zones.mxd



Document Path: J:\47801 Boxworth End Swavesey\GIS\Workspaces\47801 GIS022 Bedrock Geology.mxd



Document Path: J:\47801 Boxworth End Swavesey\GIS\Workspaces\47801 GIS023 Superficial Deposits Geology mid



Appendix B IDB Drawing





Appendix C Geological Information

Extract of Soil Association Map





Appendix D Existing Drainage Catchment Plan



	1.			NO				
OTHERWSE. 1 AL CONCIMIES ARE AN IMPLIES SETATIVE TO GRAMMEE: SUMMET MUTUAL INDREETS AND ARCHITECTS DRAMMES AND SPECIFICATIONS Image: Concentration of the concentration with ALL ENDREETS AND ARCHITECTS DRAMMES AND SPECIFICATIONS Image: Concentration of the concentration with ALL ENDREETS AND ARCHITECTS DRAMMES AND SPECIFICATIONS Image: Concentration of the concentration o	~							TD
 INDERSIMUES IN DECRETADORS: INDERSIMUES IN DECRETADORS: INDERSIMUES IN DECRETADORS: INDERSIMUES INDERSING SOURCE AND INFORMATION OF FLOW Source and information of the angle of	2.		E IN METRES RI	ELATIVE TO ORI	DNANCE DATUN	M NEWLYN UN	ILESS NOT	ED
	3.	ALL COORDINAT	TES ARE IN MET	TRES RELATIVE	TO ORDNANCE	SURVEY NA	FIONAL GR	ID.
LAND OWNERSHIP BOUNDARY EXISTING WATERCOURSE AND DIRECTION OF FLOW EXISTING WATERCOURSE CULVERT EXISTING POND SURFACE WATER CATCHMENT AREAS CATCHMENT COLOUR CATCHMENT No. TOTAL AREA (m?) 907 2 30612	4.				N WITH ALL EN	IGINEERS AN	D ARCHITE	ECTS
LAND OWNERSHIP BOUNDARY EXISTING WATERCOURSE AND DIRECTION OF FLOW EXISTING WATERCOURSE CULVERT EXISTING POND SURFACE WATER CATCHMENT AREAS CATCHMENT COLOUR CATCHMENT No. TOTAL AREA (m?) 2 30912								
LAND OWNERSHIP BOUNDARY EXISTING WATERCOURSE AND DIRECTION OF FLOW EXISTING TOLOURSE COLVERT EXISTING TOLOURSE COLVERT EXISTING TOLOURSE COLVERT EXISTING POND SURFACE WATER CATCHMENT AREAS CATCHMENT COLOUR CATCHMENT No. 1 907 2 30912								
			1.1	LEG	END			
	-	U	AND OWNERSH	IIP BOUNDARY				
SURFACE WATER CATCHMENT AREAS SURFACE WATER CATCHMENT AREAS ATCHMENT COLOUR ATCHMENT No. TOTAL AREA (m²) 1 997 30612 2 30612 30612						FLOW		
CATCHMENT COLOUR CATCHMENT No. TOTAL AREA (m²) 1 967 2 30612	R	Th						
CATCHMENT COLOUR CATCHMENT No. TOTAL AREA (m²) 1 967 2 30612		~	SURFACE		ATCHME		S	_
2 30612	-							A (m²)
Mark Revision Date Drawn Chick Mark Revision Date Drawn Chick SCALING NOTE: Do nd scale this drawing - any errors or omissions shall be reported to Stantee without daily UITITES NOTE: Do nd scale this drawing - any errors or omissions shall be reported to Stantee without daily UITITES NOTE: Do nd scale this drawing - any errors or omissions shall be reported to Stantee without daily UITITES NOTE: Do not scale this drawing - any errors or omissions shall be reported to Stantee without daily UITITES NOTE: Do not scale this drawing - any errors or omissions shall be reported to Stantee without daily UITITES NOTE: Do not scale this drawing - any errors or omissions shall be reported to Stantee without daily UITITES NOTE: Do not scale this drawing - any errors or omissions shall be reported to Stantee without daily UITITES NOTE: Do not scale this drawing - any errors or omissions shall be reported to Stantee without daily Drawing Issue Status BOXWORTH END, SWAVESET EXISTING SURFACE WATER DRAINAGE CATCHMENT PLAN Client Date on the losson mode many and the drawing - any errors of compare and canage and the ange and t						-		
SCALING NOTE: Do not scale this drawing - any errors or omissions shall be reported to Stantec without delay. UTILITIES NOTE: The position of any existing public or private sewers, utility services, plant or apparatus shown drawing is believed to be correct, but no warranty to this is expressed or implied. Other such plant or apparatus to be present but not shown. The Contractor is therefore advised to undertake their own investigation where the preof any existing sewers, services, plant or apparatus may affect their operations. Drawing Issue Status BOXWORTH END, SWAVESF? EXISTING SURFACE W. TER DRAINAGE CATCHMENT PLAN Client Content of the position of any existing below of any existing severe services. Date of 1st Issue Design. M NY1 MH MH DF At Scale Checked 1:500 - Trawing Number Revision 478011/20011/2601 P0								
SCALING NOTE: Do not scale this drawing - any errors or omissions shall be reported to Stantec without delay. UTILITIES NOTE: The position of any existing public or private sewers, utility services, plant or apparatus shown drawing is believed to be correct, but no warranty to this is expressed or implied. Other such plant or apparatus is be present but not shown. The Contractor is therefore advised to undertake their own investigation where the proof any existing sewers, services, plant or apparatus may affect their operations: Drawing Issue Status BOXWORTH END, SWAVESF? EXISTING SURFACE W. TER DRAINAGE CATCHMENT PLAN Client Client Client Client Date of 1st Issue Design. M NYI Design. M MH DF At Scale Checked 1:500 - Trawing Number Revision 478011/20011/2601 P0					2			
SCALING NOTE: Do not scale this drawing - any errors or omissions shall be reported to Stantec without delay. UTILITIES NOTE: The position of any existing public or private sewers, utility services, plant or apparatus shown drawing is believed to be correct, but no warrantly to this is expressed or implied. Other such plant or apparatus is be present but not shown. The Contractor is therefore advised to undertake their own investigation where the proof any existing sewers, services, plant or apparatus may affect their operations. Drawing Issue Status BOXWORTH END, SWAVESF? EXISTING SURFACE W. TER DRAINAGE CATCHMENT PLAN Client CALING NOTE: Date of 1st Issue Design. NY1 MH NY1 Drawing NY1 MH NY1 MH Drawing Number Checked 47801/2001/26001 P0					2			
SCALING NOTE: Do not scale this drawing - any errors or omissions shall be reported to Stantec without delay. UTILITIES NOTE: The position of any existing public or private sewers, utility services, plant or apparatus shown drawing is believed to be correct, but no warrantly to this is expressed or implied. Other such plant or apparatus is be present but not shown. The Contractor is therefore advised to undertake their own investigation where the proof any existing sewers, services, plant or apparatus may affect their operations. Drawing Issue Status BOXWORTH END, SWAVESF? EXISTING SURFACE W. TER DRAINAGE CATCHMENT PLAN Client CALING NOTE: Date of 1st Issue Design. NY1 MH NY1 Drawing NY1 MH NY1 MH Drawing Number Checked 47801/2001/26001 P0					2			
SCALING NOTE: Do not scale this drawing - any errors or omissions shall be reported to Stantec without delay. UTILITIES NOTE: The position of any existing public or private sewers, utility services, plant or apparatus shown drawing is believed to be correct, but no warrantly to this is expressed or implied. Other such plant or apparatus is be present but not shown. The Contractor is therefore advised to undertake their own investigation where the proof any existing sewers, services, plant or apparatus may affect their operations. Drawing Issue Status BOXWORTH END, SWAVESF? EXISTING SURFACE W. TER DRAINAGE CATCHMENT PLAN Client CALING NOTE: Date of 1st Issue Design. NY1 MH NY1 Drawing NY1 MH NY1 MH Drawing Number Checked 47801/2001/26001 P0					2			
SCALING NOTE: Do not scale this drawing - any errors or omissions shall be reported to Stantec without delay. UTILITIES NOTE: The position of any existing public or private sewers, utility services, plant or apparatus shown drawing is believed to be correct, but no warrantly to this is expressed or implied. Other such plant or apparatus is be present but not shown. The Contractor is therefore advised to undertake their own investigation where the proof any existing sewers, services, plant or apparatus may affect their operations. Drawing Issue Status BOXWORTH END, SWAVESF? EXISTING SURFACE W. TER DRAINAGE CATCHMENT PLAN Client CALING NOTE: Date of 1st Issue Design. NY1 MH NY1 Drawing NY1 MH NY1 MH Drawing Number Checked 47801/2001/26001 P0					2			
SCALING NOTE: Do not scale this drawing - any errors or omissions shall be reported to Stantec without delay. UTILITIES NOTE: The position of any existing public or private sewers, utility services, plant or apparatus shown drawing is believed to be correct, but no warrantly to this is expressed or implied. Other such plant or apparatus is be present but not shown. The Contractor is therefore advised to undertake their own investigation where the proof any existing sewers, services, plant or apparatus may affect their operations. Drawing Issue Status BOXWORTH END, SWAVESF? EXISTING SURFACE W. TER DRAINAGE CATCHMENT PLAN Client CALING NOTE: Date of 1st Issue Design. NY1 MH NY1 Drawing NY1 MH NY1 MH Drawing Number Checked 47801/2001/26001 P0					2			
SCALING NOTE: Do not scale this drawing - any errors or omissions shall be reported to Stantec without delay. UTILITIES NOTE: The position of any existing public or private sewers, utility services, plant or apparatus shown drawing is believed to be correct, but no warrantly to this is expressed or implied. Other such plant or apparatus is be present but not shown. The Contractor is therefore advised to undertake their own investigation where the proof any existing sewers, services, plant or apparatus may affect their operations. Drawing Issue Status BOXWORTH END, SWAVESF? EXISTING SURFACE W. TER DRAINAGE CATCHMENT PLAN Client CALING NOTE: Date of 1st Issue Design. NY1 MH NY1 Drawing NY1 MH NY1 MH Drawing Number Checked 47801/2001/26001 P0					2			
SCALING NOTE: Do not scale this drawing - any errors or omissions shall be reported to Stantec without delay. UTILITIES NOTE: The position of any existing public or private sewers, utility services, plant or apparatus shown drawing is believed to be correct, but no warrantly to this is expressed or implied. Other such plant or apparatus is be present but not shown. The Contractor is therefore advised to undertake their own investigation where the proof any existing sewers, services, plant or apparatus may affect their operations. Drawing Issue Status BOXWORTH END, SWAVESF? EXISTING SURFACE W. TER DRAINAGE CATCHMENT PLAN Client CALING NOTE: Date of 1st Issue Design. NY1 MH NY1 Drawing NY1 MH NY1 MH Drawing Number Checked 47801/2001/26001 P0					2			
UTILITIES NOTE: The position of any existing public or private sewers, utility services, plant or apparatus shown drawing is believed to be correct, but no warranty to this is expressed or implied. Other such plant or apparatus the present but not shown. The Contractor is therefore advised to undertake their own investigation where the present but not shown. The Contractor is therefore advised to undertake their own investigation where the present but not shown. The Contractor is therefore advised to undertake their own investigation where the present but not shown. The Contractor is therefore advised to undertake their own investigation where the present but not shown. The Contractor is therefore advised to undertake their own investigation where the present but not shown. The Contractor is therefore advised to undertake their own investigation where the present but not shown. The Contractor is therefore advised to undertake their operations. Drawing Issue Status Drawing Issue Status Drawing Number AT Scale Contractor Design of Drawn NYI MH DF AT Scale Checked Approved Texproduction or use framework AT 8801/20001/26001 PO								
of any existing sewers, services, plant or apparatus may affect their operations. Drawing Issue Status BOXWORTH END, SWAVESFY EXISTING SURFACE WATER DRAINAGE CATCHMENT PLAN Client AXAES Date of 1st Issue Design. 1 NYI MH MH DF I.500 - Drawing Number Revision 478001/20001/26001 P0			scale this drawing				e Drawr	1.000
BOXWORTH END, SWAVESEY EXISTING SURFACE WATER DRAINAGE Client Client Date of 1st Issue Design of Drawn NYI MH MH DF 1:500 Checked Drawing Number Revision 47801/2001/2601 P0	SCA UTIL draw	LING NOTE: <u>Do not</u> ITIES NOTE: The po ring is believed to be	osition of any exis correct, but no w	ting public or priva arranty to this is e	omissions shall be the sewers, utility xpressed or impli	e reported to S services, plant ied. Other suct	e Drawr tantec witho or apparatu	ut delay. Is shown paratus n
EXISTING SURFACE WATER DRAINAGE CATCHMENT PLAN Client Client Date of 1st Issue Design: 1 Drawin MH DF Date of 1st Issue Design: 1 Drawin MH DF A1 Scale Checked Approved Stantec.com/uk Drawing Number Revision PO CAMBRIDGE 47801/2001/26001 PO Cambridge CAMBRIDGE	SCA UTIL draw be pr of an	LING NOTE: Do not ITIES NOTE: The po ing is believed to be resent but not shown by existing sewers, se	osition of any exis correct, but no w . The Contractor ervices, plant or a	ting public or priva arranty to this is e is therefore advis	omissions shall be ate sewers, utility xpressed or impli ed to undertake t	e reported to S services, plant ied. Other such their own invest	e Drawr tantec witho or apparatu	ut delay. Is shown paratus n
EXISTING SURFACE WATER DRAINAGE CATCHMENT PLAN Client Client Date of 1st Issue Design: 1 Drawn MH DF Date of 1st Issue Desigr. 1 Drawn MH DF A1 Scale Checked Approved Stattec.com/uk Drawing Number Revision P0 CAMBRIDGE	SCA UTIL draw be pr of an	LING NOTE: Do not ITIES NOTE: The po ing is believed to be resent but not shown by existing sewers, se	osition of any exis correct, but no w . The Contractor ervices, plant or a	ting public or priva arranty to this is e is therefore advis	omissions shall be ate sewers, utility xpressed or impli ed to undertake t	e reported to S services, plant ied. Other such their own invest	e Drawr tantec witho or apparatu	ut delay. Is shown paratus n
CATCHMENT PLAN Client ADA CASES Date of 1st Issue Design. M Drawin NYI Design. M Drawn DF A1 Scale Checked Approved Stantec.com/uk Drawing Number Revision P0 CAMBRIDGE 47801/2001/2601 P0 P0 Cambridge	SCA UTIL draw be pr of an	LING NOTE: Do not ITIES NOTE: The po ring is believed to be resent but not shown ay existing sewers, se wing Issue Statu	sition of any exis correct, but no w . The Contractor ervices, plant or a IS	ting public or priva arranty to this is e is therefore advis pparatus may affe	omissions shall be the sewers, utility xpressed or impli- ed to undertake to ect their operation	e reported to S services, plant ied. Other such heir own invest is.	e Drawr tantec witho or apparatu	ut delay. Is shown paratus n
CATCHMENT PLAN Client ADA CASES Date of 1st Issue Design. M Drawin NYI Design. M Drawn DF A1 Scale Checked Approved Stantec.com/uk Drawing Number Revision P0 CAMBRIDGE 47801/2001/2601 P0 P0 Cambridge	SCA UTIL draw be pr of an Dra	LING NOTE: Do not ITIES NOTE: The po ring is believed to be resent but not shown ay existing sewers, se wing Issue Statu	sition of any exis correct, but no w . The Contractor ervices, plant or a IS	ting public or priva arranty to this is e is therefore advis pparatus may affe	omissions shall be the sewers, utility xpressed or impli- ed to undertake to ect their operation	e reported to S services, plant ied. Other such heir own invest is.	e Drawr tantec witho or apparatu	ut delay. Is shown paratus n
Client Client Constrained And par Nershins Constrained Date of 1st Issue Design, f NYI MH MH DF A1 Scale Checked 1:500 - Drawing Number Revision 47801/2001/26001 P0	SCA UTIL draw be pr of ar Dra	LING NOTE: Do not ITIES NOTE: The po ring is believed to be resent but not shown ay existing sewers, se wing Issue Statu	sition of any exis correct, but no w . The Contractor ervices, plant or a is	ting public or priva arranty to this is e is therefore advis pparatus may affe	omissions shall be the sewers, utility xpressed or impli- ed to undertake the ct their operation	e reported to S services, plant ied. Other such heir own invest is.	e Drawr tantec witho or apparatu plant or ap igation when	ut delay. Is shown paratus n re the pre
Date of 1st Issue Design Drawn NYI MH DF A1 Scale Checked Approved 1:500 - - Drawing Number Revision 47801/2001/26001 P0	SCA UTIL draw be pi of an Dra	LING NOTE: Do not ITIES NOTE: The po ring is believed to be resent but not shown ay existing sewers, se wing Issue Statu	sition of any exis correct, but no w . The Contractor ervices, plant or a Is RTH EN G SUR	ting public or priva arranty to this is e is therefore advis pparatus may affe	omissions shall be the sewers, utility xpressed or impli- ed to undertake the ct their operation	e reported to S services, plant ied. Other such heir own invest is.	e Drawr tantec witho or apparatu plant or ap igation when	ut delay. Is shown paratus n re the pre
LAND PAR NERSHL'S Date of 1st Issue Design. ' Drawn NYI MH DF A1 Scale Checked Approved 1:500 - - Drawing Number Revision Revision 47801/2001/2601 P0 CAMBRIDGE	SCA UTIL draw be pr of an Dra B	LING NOTE: Do not ITIES NOTE: The po ring is believed to be resent but not shown ay existing sewers, se wing Issue Statu SOXWOF XISTING ATCHM	sition of any exis correct, but no w . The Contractor ervices, plant or a Is RTH EN G SUR	ting public or priva arranty to this is e is therefore advis pparatus may affe	omissions shall be the sewers, utility xpressed or impli- ed to undertake the ct their operation	e reported to S services, plant ied. Other such heir own invest is.	e Drawr tantec witho or apparatu plant or ap igation when	ut delay. Is shown paratus n re the pre
LAND PAR NERSHI'S Date of 1st Issue Design. ' NYI MH DF A1 Scale Checked 1:500 - Drawing Number Revision 47801/2001/2601 P0	SCA UTIL draw be pr of an Dra B	LING NOTE: Do not ITIES NOTE: The po ing is believed to be resent but not shown ay existing sewers, se wing Issue Statu SOXWOF EXISTING ATCHM	sistion of any exis correct, but no w The Contractor ervices, plant or a S RTH EN G SUR	ting public or priva arranty to this is e is therefore advis pparatus may affe ND, SW FACE V LAN	omissions shall be the sewers, utility xpressed or impli- ed to undertake the ct their operation	e reported to S services, plant ied. Other such heir own invest is.	e Drawr tantec witho or apparatu plant or ap igation when	ut delay. Is shown paratus n re the pre
NYI MH DF A1 Scale Checked Approved 1:500 - - Drawing Number Revision 47801/2001/2601 P0 Stantec.com/uk Copyright reserved The copyrights to all designs and drawings are the property of S Reproduction or use for any purpose other than that authorised by Stantec is forbidden. CAMBRIDGE Tel:	SCA UTIL draw be pr of an Dra B	LING NOTE: Do not ITIES NOTE: The po ing is believed to be resent but not shown ay existing sewers, se wing Issue Statu SOXWOF EXISTING ATCHM	sistion of any exis correct, but no w The Contractor ervices, plant or a S RTH EN G SUR	ting public or priva arranty to this is e is therefore advis pparatus may affe ND, SW FACE V LAN	missions shall be the sewers, utility xpressed or impli- ed to undertake the to undertake the to their operation	e reported to S services, plant ied. Other such heir own invest is.	e Drawr tantec witho or apparatu igation whee	ut delay. Is shown paratus n re the pre
A1 Scale 1:500 Checked Approved 1:500 - Checked - Chec	SCA UTIL draw be pr of an Dra B	LING NOTE: Do not ITIES NOTE: The po ing is believed to be resent but not shown ay existing sewers, se wing Issue Statu COXWOF EXISTING CATCHM ent	Sistion of any exis correct, but no w The Contractor ervices, plant or a S RTH EN G SUR ENT P	ting public or priva arranty to this is e is therefore advis pparatus may affe ND, SW FACE V LAN	missions shall be the sewers, utility xpressed or impli- ed to undertake the to undertake the to their operation	e reported to S services, plant ied. Other such heir own invest is.	e Drawr tantec witho or apparatu igation whee	ut delay. Is shown paratus n re the pre
Instruction Instruction Revision Drawing Number Revision Revision 47801/2001/2601 P0 Tel:	SCA UTIL draw be proof an Dra Dra B C Clie	LING NOTE: Do not ITIES NOTE: The po ing is believed to be resent but not shown ay existing sewers, se wing Issue Statu COXWOF COXWOF COXISTING CATCHM ent LAND P.	AR NERSI	ting public or priva arranty to this is e is therefore advis pparatus may affe	missions shall be the sewers, utility xpressed or impli- ed to undertake the to undertake the to their operation	e reported to S services, plant ed. Other such heir own invest is.	an'	ut delay. Is shown paratus n re the pre
47801/2001/2601 P0 Tel:	SCA UTIL draw be proof an Dra Dra C Clie	LING NOTE: Do not ITIES NOTE: The po resent but not shown ay existing sewers, se wing Issue Statu COXWOF EXISTING CATCHM ent LAND P.	AR NERSI Design, MH	ting public or priva arranty to this is e is therefore advis pparatus may affe ND, SW FACE V LAN S Drawn DF	missions shall be the sewers, utility spressed or impli- ed to undertake to their operation AVESI AVESI The copyrights	e reported to S services, plant ied. Other such heir own invest is. COP Stantec. Copyright to all designs and dr	Drawr tantec witho or apparatu igation whee igation whee igaton wh	ut delay. Is shown paratus n re the pre
	SCA UTIL draw be proof an Dra Dra C Clie Date	LING NOTE: Do not ITIES NOTE: The po resent but not shown ay existing sewers, se wing Issue Statu COXWOF COXWOF COXISTING CATCHM ent LAND P of 1st Issue NYI cale 1:500	AR NERSI Design, MH	ting public or priva arranty to this is e is therefore advis pparatus may affer ND, SW FACE V LAN JS Drawn DF Approved -	missions shall be the sewers, utility spressed or impli- ed to undertake to their operation AVESI AVESI The copyrights	e reported to Si services, plant ied. Other such heir own invest is. COPARA Stantec. Copyright to all designs and di duction or use for ar authorised by Sta	Drawn antec witho or apparatu plant or ap igation when igation when igaton when igaton when com/uk	ut delay. Is shown paratus n re the pre
File Location: j:\47801 boxworth end swaveseylcad/dwgs\2001_civ\47801_2001_2601 - existing cate	B B C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	LING NOTE: Do not ITIES NOTE: The po ing is believed to be resent but not shown ay existing sewers, see wing Issue Statu COXWOF COXWOF COXWOF COXUOF	AR NERSI Design. Design. Decked -	ting public or priva arranty to this is e is therefore advis pparatus may affer ND, SW FACE V LAN S Drawn DF Approved - Revision	missions shall be the sewers, utility spressed or impli- ed to undertake to their operation AVESI AVESI The copyrights	e reported to S services, plant ied. Other such heir own invest is. DRA DRA DRA DRA DRA DRA DRA DRA	Drawn antec witho or apparatu plant or ap igation when igation when igaton when igaton when com/uk	ut delay. Is shown paratus n re the pre



Appendix E Anglian Water Records and Correspondence

Hartley, Michael

From: Sent: To: Subject: Planning Liaison < 13 January 2020 15:55 Hartley, Michael RE: Boxworth End, Swavesey Flood Risk and Drainage Enquiry

Dear Mr Hartley

Thank you for your email regarding Boxworth End, Swavesey Flood Risk and Drainage Enquiry

Anglian Water is able to confirm that we have no records of flooding in the vicinity that can be attributed to capacity limitations in the public sewerage system. It is possible that other flooding may have occurred that we do not have records of, other organisations such as the Local Authority, Internal Drainage Board or the Environment Agency may have records

Kind Regards





From: Hartley, Michael Sent: 13 January 2020 13:42 To: Planning Liaison Subject: Boxworth End, Swavesey Flood Risk and Drainage Enquiry

EXTERNAL MAIL - Please be aware this mail is from an external sender - THINK BEFORE YOU CLICK

Dear Sir/Madam

Peter Brett Associates have been commissioned to provide flood risk and drainage input for the promotion of the site through the emerging Greater Cambridgeshire Local Plan. The site is located at 536338E 267274N. Nearest post code: CB24 4RA. Location Plan is attached.

Could you please provide us with any information in your possession regarding any incidences of, or possible problems with, flooding associated with your foul, surface water and land drainage in the area of the site?

Thank you

Kind regards,

Michael Hartley Assistant Engineer

Direct:

<u>Cambridge</u>

Main Tel:



PBA has joined the Stantec family, find out more at peterbrett.com.



(c) Crown copyright and database rights 2020 Ordnance Survey 100022432 Date: 10/01/20	Scale: 1:1250	Мар С	Centre: 536376,2	67394
search results attached. The information on this plan is based on data currently recorded but position must be regarded as approximate. Service pipes, private sewers and drains are generally not shown. Users of this map are strongly advised to commission their own survey of the area shown on the plan before carrying out any works. The actual position of all apparatus MUST be established by trial holes. No liability whatsoever, including liability for negligence, is accepted by Anglian Water for any error or inaccuracy or omission including the failure to accurately record or record at all be location of any water main	Combined Sewer	Outfall*	e	Sew
discharge pipe, sewer or disposal main or any item of apparatus. This information is valid for the date printed. This plan is produced by Anglian Water Services Limited (c) Crown copyright and database rights 2020 Ordnance Survey 100022432. This map is to be used for the purposes of viewing the location of Anglian Water plant only. Any other uses of the map data or further copies is not permitted. This notice is not intended to exclude or restrict liability for death or	Final Effluent Rising Main*	Inlet*	€	Pub
personal injury resulting from negligence.	Private Sewer* Decommissioned Sewer*	Manhole*	•	Dec



Wastewater Plan A2



Data updated: 02/12/19	

Swavesey

mhartley@peterbrett.com

Our Ref: 361839 - 1

vage Treatment Works

commissioned Pumping Station
*(Colour denotes effluent type)

Manhole Reference	Liquid Type	Cover Level	Invert Level	Depth to Invert
1201	F	10.973	9.848	1.125
1202	F	-	-	-
1203	F	-	-	-
1204	F	-	-	*
2201	F	10.796	9.196	1.6
2301	F.	10.452	8.504	1.948
2401	F	9.586	7.812	1.774
2402	F	10.326	7.641	2.685
3501	F	8.132	6.69	1.442
3502	F	8.769	7.303	1.466
		-		
				-
				-
		-		
			1	-
		-	-	
		1	-	
	-		1	1
	-			1
			1	
	1			
			1	
				-
				_
			1	
				-
			1	
				-
	-			
				-
		-	1	-
	-		-	
	-	1		
			Ì	
				1
				1
				1
				-
				-
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	T		

Manhole Reference	Liquid Type	Cover Level	Invert Level	Depth to Inver
		-		
	-			
		-	1	
		-		
		-	-	-
		1	1	
				-
		-		
		-	-	1
		1	-	1
		-		
		-		
			1	
		-		
			<u></u>	
			1	
			i	
			1	
			1	
			1	
			1	
			1	
			<u></u>]
			1	
			1	
			1	
			1	1
			1	
			1	
				1
		1	1	1
		1		1
	-	1		

Manhole Reference	Liquid Type	Cover Level	Invert Level	Depth to Invert
		-		
			-	-
		-		_
	-	-		
		-	T	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1	
		-	1	
		-		
		-	1	
		-	-	
		-		
-		-	-	
		-	-	
		-	1	
-		-		
		+	1	
		-		-
		-		
		-		
-		-		
		-	1	
		1		
		1		
		-	1	
		1	1	
-				
		1		
	-	1	1	
		1		
·	-		1	
	-			
	1			
-				
			-	
1				
		1	11	
	1			
	-			
-			-	
			1	
	F			-
			-	

Manhole Reference	Liquid Type	Cover Level	Invert Level	Depth to Invert
		-		
		_		
				· · · · ·
				-
				1
		1		
				-
				1
		-		
			-	
				1
		1		



Appendix F EA Records and Correspondence

Hartley, Michael

From:	Enquiries_EastAnglia <e< th=""></e<>
Sent:	31 December 2019 12:54
To:	Hartley, Michael
Subject:	EAn/2019/152814 Boxworth End, Swavesey Flood Risk and Drainage Enquiry

Dear Mr Hartley

Thank you for your request of 29 November 2019 about the work you are carrying out at Boxworth End.

We have consulted our Flood and Coastal Risk Mapping team who have provided us with the information below.

The flood map for planning (Rivers and Sea) shows the site to be located within flood zone 1. Sites within flood zone 1 that are more than 1ha, in an area with critical drainage problems or subject to other sources of flooding (such as from surface water drains) require a flood risk assessment. More information can be found here: <u>https://www.gov.uk/guidance/flood-risk-assessment-in-flood-zone-1-and-critical-drainage-areas#assessments</u>

You will need to assess the risk to the site from surface water flooding - it will need to be demonstrated that the development will be safe for its lifetime and not increase flood risk elsewhere. Lead Local Flood Authorities are responsible for managing risk from surface water. Please contact your Lead Local Flood Authority (LLFA) (Cambridgeshire County Council) who will best be able to discuss surface water drainage proposals and requirements for new developments.

Safe access and egress should be provided for your site and it is for the Local Planning Authority to determine if this is provided. The Environment Agency will comment on access and egress if this is affected by flooding from rivers or the sea.

We have no historic flood event information for this area. It is possible that other flooding may have occurred that we do not have records for, and other organisations such as the LLFA or Internal Drainage Board (IDB) (Swavesey) may have records.

The Swavesey IDB can be contacted at:

Asset Management Data and Information can be found online using this link: <u>https://environment.data.gov.uk/asset-management/index.html</u>

This information is provided subject to the Open Government Licence available here: www.nationalarchives.gov.uk/doc/open-government-licence/version/3/, which you should read.

We respond to requests under the Freedom of Information Act 2000 and Environmental Information Regulations 2004.

Please get in touch if you have any further queries or contact us within two months if you would like us to review the information we have sent.

Regards Karen

Karen Brown



From: Hartley, Michael Sent: 29 November 2019 16:06 To: Enquiries_EastAnglia Subject: Boxworth End, Swavesey Flood Risk and Drainage Enquiry

Dear Sir/Madam

Peter Brett Associates have been commissioned to provide flood risk and drainage input for the promotion of the site through the emerging Greater Cambridgeshire Local Plan. The objective is to secure allocation of the residential development (100 dwellings) in the Local Plan. Location Plan is attached.

Review of the online Magic website indicates there is an "ordinary" watercourse which borders the western site boundary (see attached jpg). The "ordinary" watercourse appears to be a tributary of the Swavesey Drain located north of the site. There is an existing pond feature within the red line boundary, located within the western extent of the site.

Our initial assessment has identified the site to be located within Flood Zone 1: Low Probability of flooding from the rivers and sea as defined in the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) and accompanying Planning Practice Guidance (PPG).

A review of the online Risk of Flooding from Surface Water map (see attached jpg) however indicates that the site may be subject to surface water flooding, within the location which is currently being promoted for the proposed access route for the site.

We would be grateful if you could please provide comments on the following:

- Viability of the site with respect to flood risk;
- Scope of a future Flood Risk Assessment;
- Level of surface water modelling required of the watercourse (if any?);
- If flood storage compensation is required?

In addition could you please confirm whether the EA have any records of previous flooding of the site.

We are also in consultation with Cambridgeshire County Council, the LLFA and South Cambridgeshire District Council.

If there is a charge for this information please let us know in the earliest instance so we can arrange the necessary payment.

Thank you

Kind regards,

Michael Hartley Assistant Engineer



Appendix G CCC Correspondence

Hartley, Michael

From:	FR Planning
Sent:	23 January 2020 15:09
To:	Hartley, Michael
Subject:	RE: Boxworth End, Swavesey Flood Risk and Drainage Enquiry

Hi Michael,

Thank you for your email, to clarify the points made in previous correspondence please see the below. By using the Environment Agency Surface Water Flood Risk <u>Maps</u>, this demonstrates that there is a medium risk of flooding between 1% - 3.3% chance in a given year.

This could be up to a depth of 900mm, as a result surface water flooding modelling would be required. The reason behind this is to ensure that there is safe access and egress from the development in all flood events up to and including the 1% AEP storm including 40% allowance for climate change.

Kind Regards,

Gabriella Yeomans Flood Risk and Biodiversity Assistant The Flood Risk and Biodiversity Team Email: Flood Risk and Biodiversity Team Cambridgeshire County Council, Box No SH1315, Shire Hall, Cambridge, CB3 0AP

From: Hartley, Michael Sent: 22 January 2020 15:39 To: FR Planning

Subject: RE: Boxworth End, Swavesey Flood Risk and Drainage Enquiry

Hi Gabriella,

Hope you are well.

Could you please clarify the highlighted text in yellow in your email below?

In order to demonstrate the viability of the site with respect to surface water flood risk, surface water modelling needs to be undertaken?

Thank you

Kind regards

Michael Hartley



in 🖸 (0)

content of this email is the confidential property of Stantec and should not be copied, modified, retransmitted, or used for any purpose except with Stantec's written authorization. If you are not the intended recipient, please delete all copies and notify us immediately.

From: FR Planning Sent: 15 January 2020 11:46 To: Hartley, Michael

Subject: RE: Boxworth End, Swavesey Flood Risk and Drainage Enquiry

Good Morning Michael,

I apologise as this was a miscommunication on my behalf which has led to the confusion of a flooding report, I meant to say a flood incident reported. Therefore, do not have any reports on this matter.

This flooding incident reported to CCC was due to a blocked culvert at Boxworth End, Swavesey and was a riparian ownership issue.

I hope this helps and resolves the problem.

Kind Regards,

Gabriella Yeomans Flood Risk and Biodiversity Assistant

Flood Risk and Biodiversity Team

From: Hartley, Michael <Sent: 13 January 2020 15:02 To: FR Planning Subject: RE: Boxworth End, Swavesey Flood Risk and Drainage Enquiry

Dear Gabriella,

Happy New Year.

Just reviewed your response you kindly provided us before Christmas. You noted there is a flooding report for Boxworth End 0.4km south of the site (see highlighted text below), could you please let us how we can retrieve and view the report?

Thank you

Kind regards

Michael Hartley



The content of this email is the confidential property of Stantec and should not be copied, modified, retransmitted, or used for any purpose except with Stantec's written authorization. If you are not the intended recipient, please delete all copies and notify us immediately. Please consider the environment before printing this email.

From: FR Planning Sent: 04 December 2019 15:21 To: Hartley, Michael Subject: RE: Boxworth End, Swavesey Flood Risk and Drainage Enquiry

Good Afternoon Michael,

Thank you for your email, please find the response to your request for Flood Risk Information below.

Proposed Development: Boxworth End, Swavesey

Grid Reference: E536360, N267350.

Surface water flood risk

For the viability of the site you will need to demonstrate that the surface water flood risk depth across this area is less than 300mm for all storm events up to the 100 year + 40% climate change. Due to the potential issues for the emergency services accessing the site in times of flood, it an alternative means of safe/dry access to the site would be expected in the proposal. This would have to be in line with the NPPF and supporting PPG (Planning Practice Guidance).

Surface water modelling

The LLFA holds no records of surface water modelling for watercourses, therefore cannot provide any comments.

Flood Risk Assessment and Flood Storage

To assist you further with comments on the scope of a Flood Risk Assessment, and flood storage compensation preapplication advice will be required. Please visit the surface water and sustainable drainage systems (SuDS) planning <u>page</u> mentioned above. The online submission and payment service can be found under the Pre-application heading. Please refer to the charging schedule before making the payments.

Historic Flooding

There is no records of historic flooding within the site area, however the historic map layer on GIS shows historic flooding to the north east of the village of Swavesey. It should be noted that this historic map layer represents a large area in and around the village of Swavesey, that has been impacted from historic flooding.

Approximately, 0.4km away at Boxworth End (south of the site area) there is a flooding report, this was recorded in 2013. It is strongly advised to contact the Anglian Water and Environment Agency as there may be local issues associated to their assets. To observe any faults or flooding issues from Highways, I would suggest visiting their faults page.

It should be noted that Webs Hole Sluice is an Environment Agency controlled gate, which shuts in times of high water flows for up to 14 days. This leads to water backing up through the village while the gate is shut, reducing the function of the drains in times of high flow. It has been picked up that additional volumes of water within this drain could potentially lead to wider spread flooding throughout the village of Swavesey. It would therefore be beneficial to include some telemetry and control on the outlet from the development to hold water on site during larger storm events, when Webs Hole Sluice shuts. This would safeguard against overloading the existing system in Swavesey and has recently been implemented on a number of other developments within the village. Any proposals that come forward should not increase the flood risk to the site or surrounding area.

Kind Regards,

Gabriella Yeomans

From: Hartley, Michael Sent: 29 November 2019 16:03 To: FR Planning Subject: Boxworth End, Swavesey Flood Risk and Drainage Enquiry

Dear Sir/Madam

Peter Brett Associates have been commissioned to provide flood risk and drainage input for the promotion of the site through the emerging Greater Cambridgeshire Local Plan. The objective is to secure allocation of the residential development (100 dwellings) in the Local Plan. Location Plan is attached.

Review of the online Magic website indicates there is an "ordinary" watercourse which borders the western site boundary (see attached jpg). The "ordinary" watercourse appears to be a tributary of the Swavesey Drain located north of the site. There is an existing pond feature within the red line boundary, located within the western extent of the site.

Our initial assessment has identified the site to be located within Flood Zone 1: Low Probability of flooding from the rivers and sea as defined in the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) and accompanying Planning Practice Guidance (PPG).

A review of the online Risk of Flooding from Surface Water map (see attached jpg) however indicates that the site may be subject to surface water flooding, within the location which is currently being promoted for the proposed access route for the site.

We would be grateful if you could please provide comments on the following:

- Viability of the site with respect to surface water flood risk;
- Scope of a future Flood Risk Assessment;
- Level of surface water modelling required of the watercourse (if any?);
- If flood storage compensation is required?

In addition could you please confirm whether CCC have any records of previous flooding of the site.

If there is a charge for this information please let us know in the earliest instance so we can arrange the necessary payment.

Thank you

Kind regards,

Michael Hartley Assistant Engineer



Appendix H SFRA Extract

Extracts from South Cambridgeshire District Council and Cambridge City Council Strategic Flood Risk Asessment

Existing watercourses





Willingham and Over Internal Drainage Board

Historical Flood Map



P				
10/02/2009	Swavesey	Boxworth End	Local Ditches	Cambs Police
1017	0	Mariliana Paul at	Di	0

SuDS infiltration feasibility plan



KEY

South Cambridgeshire DC & Cambridge City Council Boundaries



Uncertain Potential for Infiltration

High Potential for Infiltration


Appendix I Greenfield Calcs

Soils BFI Calculation



						Telford Hous Fulbour
Client	Axis Land P	artnerships		1		Cambridg Cambridgeshir
Job Title		nd, Swavesey				CB21 5H
Job No.	47801					
Method (1): From Look up HOST classes fo			lix B of H126			
	Fraction of site area	SO L class	HOST classes	% in each HOST cla	as BFI value	
Soil Association 1	1	411c	20	23.08	0 524	
			23	61.54	0 218	
			25	15.38	0.17	4
				1	0	
				Total	= 0.2812424	
					1.00	
	Fraction of site area		HOST classes	% in each HOST cla		
Soil Association 2	0	٥	0	0	0	
			0	0	0	
			0	0	0	-
			0	0	0	
				Total	= 0	5
	Fraction of site area	SOL class	HOST classes	% in each HOST cla	e BELvalue	
Soil Association 3	-		0	0	O	1
	-		0	0	0	
			0	0	0	1
			0	0	0	
				Total	= 0	
Total	1	Must add to one				
- Cital		and a stable to one				
	BFI =	0.3	28	1		
				-		
DOCUMENT ISS	UE RECORD	1.4				
Calculation Ref	Rev	Date	Prepared	Checked	Reviewed (Discipline Lead)	Approved (Project Director
47801/4001/001		19.12.19	MJH	FVA	Leau	

http /www peterbrett.com

FEH Greenfield Runoff



Per Hectare

Using 2008 QMED Equation

Project Title Boxworth End, Swavesey

Project No 47801

Me hodology as set out in SuDS Manual 24.3.2

SUDS Manual Chapter 24

1 Retrieve FEH Catchment Information

xport catchment data from FEH CDROM as .c	sv file and save in FEH dat	a export	
atchment Descriptors	BFIHOST	0.280	see note 1
	SAAR	538.0	see note 1
	FARL	1.0	see note 2
	FARL		1.0

2 Derive QBAR (mean annual flood)

Define area	Site Area	1.0	ha	
	Applied Area	50.0	ha	see note 3
FEH Index Flood (SuDS Manual Equation 24 2)	QMED (Q ₂)	2.2	I/s	see note 4
Calculate QBAR by dividing QMED by 2yr growth factor	QBAR	2.5	I/s	see note 5

3 Select appropriate growth factors

FSR Hydrological Region		5
100yr Growth Curve Factor	GQ100	3.56
30yr Growth Curve Factor	GQ ₃₀	2.55
10yr Growth Curve Factor	GQ ₁₀	1.65
2yr Growth Curve Factor	GQ ₂	0.89
1yr Growth Curve Factor	GQ1	0.87

(refer to FSR Hydrological Region tab)



4 Derive Flood Frequency

Greenfield Runoff per 1ha		A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACTOR O	
100yr Peak Runoff Rate	Q ₁₀₀	8.9 l/s	Q100
30yr Peak Runoff Rate	Q ₃₀	6.4 I/s	Q ₃₀
10yr Growth Curve Factor	Q ₁₀	4.1 l/s	Q ₁₀
QBAR Peak Runoff Rate	QBAR	2.5 l/s	QBAR
2yr Peak Runoff Rate	Q ₂	2.2 Vs	Q ₂
1yr Peak Runoff Rate	Q ₁	2.2 l/s	Q1

Q100	8.9 1/s/ha
Q ₃₀	6.4 l/s/ha
Q ₁₀	4.1 1/s/ha
QBAR	2.5 I/s/ha
Q ₂	2.2 l/s/ha
Q1	2.2 1/s/ha

Location of FEH Data (as Hyperlink)

DOCUMENT ISSUE RECORD

Rev	Comments	Prepared	Date	Checked	Date
1	All checked	MH	19.12.19	FVA	19.12.2019
h	Lease 1				
1	1				

Sheet crea	ted by	Alex Bearne	
Last updat	ed	03.01.18	Recommended Review 01.07.18
Notes	FEH statist	tical method applied in	ed to allow derivation of greenfield runoff rates using the a manner consistent with the recommendations of the SuDS ations to improve this spreadsheet please contact the owner.
Note 1	lf you do r manually.	not think the BFIHOST v This should only very o	tion of BFIHOST and SAAR values for each square kilometre grid value is representative of your site then it is possible to derive it accasionally be necessary. BFI can be derived manually using the lood Estimation Handbook (see <i>Manual Derivation of BFIHOST tab)</i> .
Note 2	should be attenuatin FARL is a r this term L managem	set to 1 (representing ng affect on runoff plea measurement of studies	
Note 3			tare the spreadsheet will calculate QMED for 50ha ly to the defined Site Area
Note 4	QMED is c	alculated using the sta	tistical equation as revised by Kjeldsen in 2008
Note 5	<u>Rainfall ru</u> It is reprod QBAR is ca methodolo	noff management for o duced as Equation 24.2 alculated by dividing QI ogy set out in paragrap	•0.1536 ^(1000/SAAR) •FARL ^{3.4451} •0.0460 ^{BFIHOST*2} developments.pdf in the SUDS Manual (pg 512) MED by the growth factor for the 2 year event, as per the h 6.2.2 of 'Rainfall runoff management for developments' . ood for the basis of applying the growth factors.



Appendix J Quick Storage Calcs

Quick Storage Calculations

Southern Parcel

<u> 1% AEP + 20% CC</u>

	Variables			
Micro Drainage Variables	FEH Rainfall ~ Return Period (years) 100 Version 2013 ~ Point	Cv (Summer) Cv (Winter) Impermeable Area (ha)	0.840 0.840 0.403	
Results Design Overview 2D Overview 3D	Site GB 536428 267295 TL 36428 67295	Maximum Allowable Discharge (l/s) Infiltration Coefficient (m/hr) Safety Factor Climate Change (%)	1.0 0.00000 2.0 20	8
Vt	-	Analyse OK	Cancel H	elp

	Results
Micro Drainage	Global Variables require approximate storage of between 328 m³ and 371 m³. These values are estimates only and should not be used for design purposes.
Variables	
Results	
Design	
Overview 2D	
Overview 3D	
Vt.	
	Analyse OK Cancel Help

<u> 1% AEP + 40% CC</u>

Line and				-
Micro Drainage	FEH Rainfall ~	Cv (Summer)	0.840	
breiniege	Return Period (years) 100	Cv (Winter)	0.840	
Variables	Version 2013 V Point	Impermeable Area (ha)	0.403	
Results	Site GB 536428 267295 TL 36428 67295	Maximum Allowable Discharge (I/s)	1.0	
D	-	Infiltration Coefficient (m/hr)	0.00000	6
Design		Safety Factor	2.0	
Overview 2D		Climate Change (%)	40	=
Overview 3D				_
Vt				
		Analyse OK	Cancel	Help

	Results				
Micro Drainage	Global Variables require approxi of between 390 m ³ and 439 m ³ . These values are estimates only		for design pur	Doses.	
Variables	and the second particular second	Contraction stress stress			
Results					
Design					
Overview 2D					
Overview 3D					
Vt					
		Analyse	OK	Cancel	Help

Northern Parcel

<u>1% AEP+20% CC</u>

	Variables			
Micro Drainage	FEH Rainfall ~ Return Period (years) 100 Version 2013 ~ Point	Cv (Summer) Cv (Winter)	0.840	
Variables Results	Version 2013 Point Site GB 536428 267295 TL 36428 67295	Impermeable Area (ha) Maximum Allowable Discharge (l/s)	0.703	
Design Overview 2D		Infiltration Coefficient (m/hr) Safety Factor	2.0	
Overview 3D		Climate Change (%)	20	
Vt				
		Analyse OK	Cancel	Help

	Results
Micro Drainage	Global Variables require approximate storage of between 570 m³ and 645 m³. These values are estimates only and should not be used for design purposes.
Variables	
Results	
Design	
Overview 2D	
Overview 3D	
Vt	
	Analyse OK Cancel Help

<u>1% AEP+40% CC</u>

	Variables			
Micro Drainage Variables Results Design Overview 2D Overview 3D	FEH Rainfall Version Return Period (years) 100 Version 2013 Point Site GB 536428 267295 TL 36428 67295	Cv (Summer) Cv (Winter) Impermeable Area (ha) Maximum Allowable Discharge (l/s) Infiltration Coefficient (m/hr) Safety Factor Climate Change (%)	0.840 0.840 0.703 1.8 0.00000 2.0 40	
Vt		Analyse OK	Cancel	Help

	Results
Micro Drainage	Global Variables require approximate storage of between 678 m ³ and 763 m ³ . These values are estimates only and should not be used for design purposes.
Variables	
Results	
Design	
Overview 2D	
Overview 3D	
Vt	
	Analyse OK Cancel Help



Appendix K Outline Proposed Drainage Strategy

BASIN 1 TOP OF BANK FOOTPRINT = 1334m² ASSUMED INVERT LEVEL OF BASIN = 7.64mAOD DEPTH OF BASIN = 1.1m ATTENUATION STORAGE REQUIRED = 763m³ (1% AEP + 40% CLIMATE CHANGE)

Route of outfall to be agreed

with Ecologist

 $\frac{\text{BASIN 2}}{\text{TOP OF BANK FOOTPRINT} = 785\text{m}^2}$ ASSUMED INVERT LEVEL OF BASIN = 9.05mAOD DEPTH OF BASIN = 1.3m ATTENUATION STORAGE REQUIRED = 439m³ (1% AEP + 40% CLIMATE CHANGE)



	+	374
		2
	+	-
		-
		1
		r,
		Ľ
	+	2740
	<u>.</u>	
	1.7	
		-
	1	
	+	247.2
A CONTRACT	+	F
	+	28734
	7	
	+	2720
	÷	
	+	2720
	•	3933
	+	
	+	
	+	-
	+	-
	+	-
		-
	+	
	+	-
	+	-
	*	-
	+	-
	+	-
	*	
	• •	
	+ +	-
	+	-
	• •	-
	+	
	+ +	-
	*	-
	+ +	-
	+	-
	+ +	-
	*	-
	+	-
	+ + +	-
	* * *	-
	+ + +	-
	* * *	-
	+ + +	-
	+ + +	-
	· · · ·	-
	* * *	-
	+ + +	-

NOTES

. ALL DIMENSIONS ARE IN METRES UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE.

- 2. ALL LEVELS ARE IN METRES RELATIVE TO ORDNANCE DATUM NEWLYN UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE.
- 3. ALL COORDINATES ARE IN METRES RELATIVE TO ORDNANCE SURVEY NATIONAL GRID.
- 4. THIS DRAWING IS TO BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH ALL ENGINEERS AND ARCHITECTS DRAWINGS AND SPECIFICATIONS.
- 5. ATTENUATION REQUIREMENTS HAVE BEEN BASED ON A DISCHARGE RATE OF 2.51/s/ha.
- 6. IT HAS BEEN ASSUMED THE DEVELOPMENT HAS A 55% IMPERMEABLE AREA INCLUDING URBAN CREEP.
- 7. UPSTREAM SuDS WILL NEED TO BE PROVIDED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GUIDANCE.
- 8. ATTENUATION REQUIREMENTS HAVE BEEN CALCULATED USING QUICK STORAGE ESTIMATES WITHIN THE MICRODRAINAGE SOFTWARE.
- 9. SIZING OF THE ATTENUATION BASINS DO NOT ASSUME A SURCHARGED OUTFALL.

LEGEND



9.5m

EXISTING WATERCOURSE AND DIRECTION OF FLOW

EXISTING WATERCOURSE CULVERT	
EXISTING POND	

PROPOSED ATTENUATION BASIN

PROPOSED OUTFALL TO EXISTING WATERCOURSE
PROPOSED CULVERT
PROPOSED DRAINAGE PATH - CATCHMENT 1
PROPOSED DRAINAGE PATH - CATCHMENT 2
EXISTING CONTOUR LEVEL (mAOD)

			100 11		1
-			-		
21	MASTERPLAN UPDATE	13.02.20	MH	SK	
ark	Revision	Date	Drawn	Chkd	Appd

drawing is believed to be correct, but no warranty to this is expressed or implied. Other such plant or apparatus may also be present but not shown. The Contractor is therefore advised to undertake their own investigation where the presence of any existing sewers, services, plant or apparatus may affect their operations. Drawing Issue Status

PRELIMINARY

OUTLINE PROPOSED SURFACE WATER DRAINAGE PLAN

BOXWORTH END, SWAVESEY

LAND PARTNERSHIPS

47801/2001/2602 P1

Designed MH

Checked ACS Drawn TH

Approved



A1 Scale

Date of 1st Issue 05.02.2020

Drawing Number

1:500



stantec.com/uk

Copyright reserved The copyrights to all designs and drawings are the property of Stantec. Reproduction or use for any purpose other than that authorised by Stantec is forbidden.

File Location: j:\47801 boxworth end swavesey\cad\dwgs\2001_civ\47801_2001_2602 - outline proposed sw drainage.dwg